

REMARKS:

In accordance with the foregoing, claims 22-24 have been amended. Claims 1-27 are pending and under consideration.

Claim Amendments:

Claims 22-24 have been amended as set forth above to correct a dependency error as pointed out by the Examiner.

Applicants Traverse the Restriction Requirement

While it is noted that the Examiner has identified different classifications for the different groups, it is believed that classification is not conclusive on the question of restriction. Although the claims differ, the claims are closely related in the same field of technology. It is believed, moreover, that evaluation of both sets of claims would not provide an undue burden upon the Examiner at this time in comparison with the additional expense and delay to Applicants in having to protect the additional subject matter recited by the Group II and Group III claims by filing a divisional application.

MPEP §803 sets forth the criteria for restriction between patentably distinct inventions. (A) indicates that the inventions must be independent (see MPEP §802.01, §806.04, §808.01) or distinct as claimed (see MPEP §806.05-806.05(i)); and (B) indicates that there must be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is required (see MPEP §803.02, §806.04(a)- §806.04(i), §808.01(a) and §808.02). The Examiner has not set forth why there would be a serious burden if restriction is not required.

Conclusion:

Upon review of references involved in this field of technology, and when all of the other various facts are taken into consideration, it is believed that upon reconsideration of the Examiner's initial restriction requirement, all of the pending claims should be examined in the subject application.

In view of the foregoing amendments, arguments and remarks, all claims are deemed to be allowable and this application is believed to be in condition for allowance.


If any further fees are required in connection with the filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our deposit account number 19-3935.

Should any questions remain unresolved, the Examiner is requested to telephone Applicants' attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 7/23/04

By: 
John H. Stowe
Registration No. 32,863

1201 New York Ave, N.W., Ste. 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 434-1500